Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Ver the Page Page 1 of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| er the Paperwo X Reduction Act of 1995 | . no persoi | ns are required to respond to a co | lection of information unless it displays a valid OMB co | ntrol number. |
|--|-------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|
| G MARLE | | Application Number | 10/752,620 | |
| TRANSMITTAL | | Filing Date | 01/06/04 | |
| FORM | | First Named Inventor | Manish Upendran et al. | |
| | | Art Unit | 3627 | |
| (to be used for all correspondence after initial | filing) | Examiner Name | Fischer, Andrew J. | |
| Total Number of Pages in This Submission | 5 | Attorney Docket Number | 100991.53378US (P001-5) | |

| ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------|--|-------------------|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | Amendment Af Af Extension Express A Informatio Certified C Document Reply to M Incomplet | ter Final fidavits/declaration(s) of Time Request abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority | | Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revoca Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on the | tion e Address | | After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): ck No. 6881 for \$1,020.00 | | | |
| | | SIGNA | TURE | OF APPLICANT, ATT | ORNEY, C | R AG | ENT | | | |
| Firm Name CROWELL & MORING LLB, Customer Number: 23911 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Signat | ture | 1 on the L | 1 Jone Modingsof | | | | | | | |
| Printed name | | Jonathan M. Lindsay | | | | | | | | |
| Date | | June 27, 2005 | | | Reg. No. | 45,810 | 0 | | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING | | | | | | | | | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

June 27, 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

the date shown below:

Typed or printed name

Joan M. Gordon

Signature

Attorney Dkt: 100991.53378US (P001-5)



pplicant: Manish Upendran et al.

Group Art Unit: 3627

Serial No.:

10/752,620

Examiner: Fischer, Andrew J.

Filed:

January 6, 2004

Confirmation No.: 5967

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PERFORMING PURCHASE

TRANSACTIONS UTILIZING A BROADCAST-BASED DEVICE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 22, 2005 regarding the above-identified U.S. patent application, Applicants hereby provisionally elect Claims 1-13 (Group I) for prosecution in the present application. For the reasons set forth hereinafter, however, Applicants respectfully submit that restriction as between Claims 1-13, 14-26 and Claims 27-39 in this application is not proper. Accordingly, the requirement for a restriction is traversed.

Reconsideration of the restriction requirement is requested on grounds that it does not set forth the *prima facie* case of independent and/or distinctness sufficient to satisfy 35 USC § 121 or, for that matter, the PTO's own guidelines set forth in MPEP § 806.05. In particular, the general conclusions set forth in the Office Action